

## The Family Influence on Business: Czech Family Companies

Authors: Pavla Srbová, Mária Režňáková

### The aim of the paper and methods

#### The aim of the paper:

 to describe selected factors influencing socioemotional wealth (SEW) and to verify their existence in Czech family firms

#### **Methods:**

- qualitative and quantitative data obtained by a questionnaire survey
- descriptive statistical methods

Attention has been devoted to Czech family firms in recent years in connection with the ongoing first intergenerational changeover.



#### Introduction

- Family firms are associated with the pursuit of noneconomic objectives that express themselves in the existence of SEW
- SEW is a driver of family firm behaviour (Vandekerkhof et al., 2015)

#### SEW:

The non-financial aspects associated with a family business that serve to satisfy the needs of the family, such as its identity and influence and the preservation of dynasty.



Decribing factors supporting the existence of SEW in the Czechia



### **Assumptions**

- 1. The majority of family firms in the Czech Republic are managed and owned by their founders.
- 2. A member of the family holds the position of director and the majority of the TMT is made up of family members in family firms.
- 3. The family generally holds a 100% share and plans to pass the concern on to members of the family.
- 4. Family firms have a low level of indebtedness and are unwilling to accept the entry of external investors.







## 1. The majority of family firms in the Czech Republic are managed and owned by their founders.

| Congression of       | Generation of managers |                  |        |       |       |
|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|--------|-------|-------|
| Generation of owners | First                  | First and second | Second | Other | Total |
| First                | 26                     | 6                | 6      | 0     | 38    |
| First and second     | 0                      | 1                | 1      | 0     | 2     |
| Second               | 0                      | 3                | 10     | 1     | 14    |
| Other                | 0                      | 0                | 1      | 2     | 3     |
| Total                | 26                     | 10               | 18     | 3     | 57    |

questionnaires

(respondents)

SME

companies

- 63.2 % managed by the first or first and second generation
- 70.2 % owned by the first or first and second generation
- The management of the company is transferred first, and ownership only later.



## 2. A member of the family holds the position of director and the majority of the TMT is made up of family members in family firms.

| Members of the family in the company management | ≤ 50 | > 50 % | 100 % | total |
|---|------|--------|-------|-------|
| Number of cases                                 | 12   | 14     | 31    | 57    |



- 78.9 % families have a majority in the TMT
- The director is usually the father
- Family business is characterised by an unwillingness on the part of the family to accept external managers.



## 3. The family generally holds a 100% share and plans to pass the concern on to members of the family.

| The family is willing to accept    | The family plans on to famil |     |       |
|------------------------------------|------------------------------|-----|-------|
| the entry of a non-family investor | No                           | Yes | Total |
| No                                 | 7                            | 27  | 34    |
| Yes                                | 5                            | 18  | 23    |
| Total                              | 12                           | 45  | 57    |

50

firms

- 45 owners plan to pass the company on to family members
- 1 owners plan to put it in a trust fund to be administered by the family
- 4 owners have no plans

80.7 % of families own share 100%



## 4. Family firms have a low level of indebtedness and are unwilling to accept the entry of external investors.

| CZ-NACE                              | Number of family companies | Total liabilities/total assets |                | Bank credits/total assets |                  |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------|---------------------------|------------------|
|                                      |                            | Family<br>firms                | Branch average | Family<br>firms           | Branch average   |
| A: agriculture, forestry and fishing | 1                          | 28 %                           | > 9%           | 7 %                       | > 3 %            |
| C: manufacturing                     | 23                         | 47 %                           | > 43 %         | 20 %                      | > 9%             |
| F: construction                      | 5                          | 92 %                           | > 48 %         | 20 %                      | > 5%             |
| G-N: selected services               | 12                         | 66 %                           | > 57 %         | 16 %                      | <b>&lt;</b> 18 % |
| P-S: other services                  | 6                          | 56 %                           | > 55 %         | 14 %                      | <b>&lt;</b> 19 % |
| Total                                | 47                         |                                |                |                           |                  |

- The assertion of lower indebtedness in family firms does not hold true.
- The accounting data of 47 family firms were used.



40 % of respondents is considering the entry the external investor.

#### **Discussion and conclusion**

- Member of the family generally the founder holds the position of director in a family firm.
- Members of the family form a majority in the management of the company
- The majority of family firms are owned and managed by their founder
- The members of the top management are exclusively members of the family in more than half the companies .
- An unwillingness to accept non-family investors was not confirmed.
- 46 of the 57 family firms are owned by a single family.
- The average degree of indebtedness in the companies we analysed is higher than the branch average in the majority of fields.
- Future research: to check the validity of our theoretical assumptions on a larger data sample.
- It is not yet possible to examine the impact of the change of generation of owners on the business and the creation of socioemotional wealth.



### References

- Bacci, S., Cirillo, A., Mussolino, D., & Terzani, S. (2018). The influence of family ownership dispersion on debt level in privately held firms. Small Business Economics, 51(3), 557-576. DOI: 10.1007/s11187-017-9930-2.
- Berrone, P., C. Cruz & L. R. Gomez-Mejia (2012). Socioemotional Wealth in Family Firms. Family Business Review. 25(3), 258-279. DOI: 10.1177/0894486511435355. ISSN 0894-4865.
- Cabrera-Suárez, K., Cruz D., J.D., Martín-Santana, J. D. (2014). The setting of non-financial goals in the family firm: The influence of family climate and identification, *Journal of Family Business Strategy*, Vol. 5, I. 3, 289-299. ISSN 1877-8585. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfbs.2014.05.003.
- Gómez-Mejía, L. R., Haynes, K. T., Núñez-Nickel, M., Jacobson, K. J., & Moyano-Fuentes, J. (2007). Socioemotional wealth and business risks in family controlled firms: Evidence from Spanish olive oil mills. *Administrative science quarterly*, 52(1), 106-137. DOI: 10.2189/asqu.52.1.106.
- Vandekerkhof, P., Steijvers, T., Hendriks, W., & Voordeckers, W. (2015). The
  effect of organizational characteristics on the appointment of nonfamily
  managers in private family firms: The moderating role of socioemotional
  wealth. Family Business Review, 28(2), 104-122. DOI: 28.
  10.1177/0894486513514274.

# Thank you for your attention

